S J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRADURG FEEDBACK FROM ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM Academic Year 2018 - 19

Name of the Alumni: Venkatesh CN	_Year of stu	ıdy:	1 996 -	,
Class and programme studied: B.SC Occupati		st by	26	
Presently working at: 35 M degree College		, ,		1.
Mobile: 8296820 284 E-mail:				
(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please t	tick (V) in the	relevant	cell)	
(Note: Tour variable feedback is solicited. I tease t	ick (v) in inc	recevani	<i>ceii.</i>)	
Name of the Programme on which feedback is given:				
Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs				
2. Weightage given to Employability	,			
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship				
4. Weightage given to Skill development				
5. Weightage given to Project	/			
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	-			
7. Depth of the course content				
8. Inclusion/Incorporation of latest advancements in the subject				
9. Difficulty level of course content			/	
10. Optimization of course content				
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace				
12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives				
13. Level of Course Outcomes				2
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)				•
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material				
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education				
17. Weightage given to practical, field work component				
18.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners				
19. Overall rating	161			
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development				

agadguru Murugharajendra College/ of Arts, Science & Commerce CHITRADURGA

M COLLEGE OF ARTS,SCIENCE &COMMERCE,CHANDRAVALLI,CHITRADURGA FEEDBACK FROM ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM Academic Year 20 | 8 - 7 9

Mobile: 9986317371 E-mail: (Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please to the Programme on which feedback is given:	ick ($$) in the	relevant	cell.)	
ame of the Programme on which feedback is given:	4	3	2	1
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
l. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs		\checkmark		
2. Weightage given to Employability		\checkmark		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship		<u> </u>		
4. Weightage given to Skill development		\checkmark		
5. Weightage given to Project	5			
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component			V	
7. Depth of the course content			V	
3. Inclusion/Incorporation of latest advancements in the subject		V		
D. Difficulty level of course content			V	
0. Optimization of course content		<u> </u>	2	
1. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace		V	,1	
2. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	V			
3. Level of Course Outcomes				,
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		•	/	- 1
5. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material		5		
Study material 6. Creation of interest to pursue higher education			V	
7. Weightage given to practical, field work component				
8.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to low learners		V		
9. Overall rating		V		
uggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	ll			

PRINCIPAL
lagadguru Murugharajendra College/
of Aris, Science & Commerce
CHITRADURGA

Signature.

Date:

anderes

M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRADUKA FEEDBACK FROM ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM Academic Year 2018 - 19

Name of the Alumni: K.M Veelesh	_Year of stu	ady: 10	182	
Class and programme studied: BQ Occupat		didout		
Presently working at: Bapuil Inditate.)	UCION		,
Mobile: 980 50 779 E-mail:				
(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please	tick $(\sqrt{)}$ in the	e relevant (cell.)	
	()		/	
Name of the Programme on which feedback is given:				
Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs				
2. Weightage given to Employability				-
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship				
4. Weightage given to Skill development				
5. Weightage given to Project				
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component				- 2
7. Depth of the course content				
8. Inclusion/Incorporation of latest advancements in the subject		*		
9. Difficulty level of course content				
10. Optimization of course content	<u></u>			- 25
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace				
12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives				
13. Level of Course Outcomes				ÿ.
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)				
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material				2
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education				
17. Weightage given to practical, field work component				
18.Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners				
19. Overall rating		-		
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	1			

