S J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRADER FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year: 2018 - 19

Name of the Teacher: NABESh. M.			100	der
Designation: ASA Professor. Department:	Mas	Hh		
Name of the College/University:				
Mobile: $98 \text{ HHB} + 1 + 4$ E-mail: (Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick ($$) in the re				
	levant cell.)			
Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given:				
Parameters	Excellent	Good	2 Average	Belo Avera
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs		1		
2. Weightage given to Employability		1		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship			~	
4. Weightage given to Skill development	1.54	V		
5. Weightage given to Project		12	1	
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component		V		
7. Depth of the course content		V		
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject		V		
9. Difficulty level of course content		V		
10. Optimization of course content		V		
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	V			
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus	~			
13. Relevance of Course outcomes	V			
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)			-	ė
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material		W		
16. Overall rating		1		
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development				

COLLEGE TRANSPORTE

PRINCIPAL
Sri Jagadguru Murugharajendra College
of Aris, Science & Commerce
CHITPADIIRGA

Signature

5 J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRADURE FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM Academic Year : 20 | 8 - 49

Name of the Teacher:	Contro
Designation: Pepartment: Pepartment:	
Name of the College/University:	7
Mobile: <u>73997928</u> E-mail:	
(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick ($$) in the relevant cell.)	
Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given:	

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs				
2. Weightage given to Employability			V	
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship			V	
4. Weightage given to Skill development		V		
5. Weightage given to Project		V		
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component				
7. Depth of the course content		1		
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject		V		
9. Difficulty level of course content		V		
10. Optimization of course content				
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)		V		
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus	V		X	
13. Relevance of Course outcomes	~			
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)				
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material			V	
16. Overall rating				

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

S J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRADER FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM Academic Year: 20/8 - 19

Name of the Teacher: SAndandanhussain Designation: Asst. Prssan Department:	1			aler.
Designation: ASSH. Prssan Department:	Bio	tchor	wlogy	,
Name of the College/University:		,	0	
Mobile: 9986394391 'E-mail:				
Mobile: 9986994391 `E-mail: (Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick ($$) in the real	levant cell.)			
Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given:				
	4	3	2	1
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Averag
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs		V		
2. Weightage given to Employability		V		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship		V		
4. Weightage given to Skill development		1		
5. Weightage given to Project		V		
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component		V		
7. Depth of the course content		V		
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject			1	
9. Difficulty level of course content			1	
10. Optimization of course content		V		
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)			1	
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus			V	
13. Relevance of Course outcomes			V	
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		V		
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material		V		
16. Overall rating		V		

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

