## S J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRAD (RGA) FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM Academic Year: 2020-21

Kya Chia namet	f. W			TO THE
Name of the Teacher:	ng 1	DAUS	01	
Designation: Department:	01.31	11921	9	
Name of the College/University:	Curin	agury		*
Mobile: F-mail:				
(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick ( $$ ) in the rel	levant cell.)			
Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given:				
Danamatana	4 Evallent	Good Cood	2	1 D-1
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs		V		TAVOTAGE
2. Weightage given to Employability				
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship		V		1
4. Weightage given to Skill development	1	The state of the s		
5. Weightage given to Project		V		
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	V			
7. Depth of the course content			(v.	
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject				
9. Difficulty level of course content				_1
10. Optimization of course content				
11 Markaria de la contrata del contrata del contrata de la contrata del contrata de la contrata del contrata de la contrata del contrata del contrata del contrata del contrata de la contrata del cont				
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)				
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus			1 1	
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the symmetry				
13. Relevance of Course outcomes				
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge,		1 -		
concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)				
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material				
16. Overall rating		~	,	
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development		/		
			/	
COLLEGE		//	/	
		/		
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR			Signature	

## S J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRADURG FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year : 2020 \_ 21

Name of the Teacher:	IATHA, S	
Designation: MATI Assistant	Duoj. Department: MATHS	
Name of the College/University:	Gort Science Collège : CIA	
Mobile: 9844 427177	E-mail:	
(Note: Your valuable feedback is solici	rited. Please tick ( $$ ) in the relevant cell. )	

,	4	3	2	Below Average
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs		V		
2. Weightage given to Employability		V		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship		V		
4. Weightage given to Skill development		V		
5. Weightage given to Project		V		
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component		V		
7. Depth of the course content	V			
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject	V			
9. Difficulty level of course content			1	1
10. Optimization of course content				
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)		L		
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus		V		
13. Relevance of Course outcomes		V		
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		V		
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material		V		
16. Overall rating	1			

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development



PRINCIPAL
Sri Jagadguru Murugharajendra Collège'
of Arts, Science & Commerce
CHITRADURGA



## 5 J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITE ADURE FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year : 2020-21

Name of the Teacher: KARABASAPPA . C.				\ <u>\</u>
Designation: Department Department	: Zoola	94		
Designation: ASS From Department Name of the College/University: HPPC govs I grade	Collège	-0		
Mobile: 9945293568 E-mail:				
(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick ( $$ ) in the re	elevant cell.)			
Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given:		T 3	T 2	
Parameters	Excellent	Good	2 Average	Belo
rarameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Avera
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs				
2. Weightage given to Employability				
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship				
4. Weightage given to Skill development				
5. Weightage given to Project				
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component				
7. Depth of the course content				
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject				
9. Difficulty level of course content				
10. Optimization of course content				
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)			,	
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus				
13. Relevance of Course outcomes				
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)				
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material				
16. Overall rating				
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development			, <u>t</u>	

Capanaga \*

PRINCIPAL
Srl Jagadguru Murugharajendra College/
of Arts, Science & Commerce
CHITRADURGA

Signature

## S J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHERADE FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM Academic Year: 2020 - 2

No. Col. The Land
Name of the Teacher: Name of the Teacher:
Designation: Assistant proffeser Department: Maths
Name of the College/University: Qovt. Science Callage, CTA
Mobile: 9686553117
(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick ( $$ ) in the relevant cell. )
Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given:

	4	3	2	
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Be Ave
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	1	1		
2. Weightage given to Employability		<b>L</b>		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship		V		
4. Weightage given to Skill development		V		
5. Weightage given to Project		V		
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component		L		
7. Depth of the course content			1	
3. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject		V	4	
D. Difficulty level of course content		r		
10. Optimization of course content	/ :	V		
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)		<b>►</b>		
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus		V	1	
3. Relevance of Course outcomes		V		
4. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)			1	80
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material		1		
6. Overall rating		V		

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development



PRINCIPAL

Nwiend Signature