## S J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRADORGA FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year : 2021-22

Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given:	4	3	2	1
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Belov Avera
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs				
2. Weightage given to Employability				
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship				
4. Weightage given to Skill development				
5. Weightage given to Project				
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component				
7. Depth of the course content				
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject				
9. Difficulty level of course content				
10. Optimization of course content				
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)				
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus			, i	
13. Relevance of Course outcomes				
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	·			
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material				
16. Overall rating				
uggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	L	L		

## S J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRAE URGA FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year :

0.0	1	0 0
202	-	dd

Name of the Teacher: Maralhudi HM				
Designation: Guest lecture Department:  Name of the College/University: S J M Degree Co	EIN	NO.20		
Name of the College/University: S 5 M Dearce Co	De ac	0		
Mobile: 9353051829 `E-mail:	W g			,
(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick $()$ in the re-	levant cell.)			
Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given:	,			
	4	3	2	1
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Averag
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs				
2. Weightage given to Employability				
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship				
4. Weightage given to Skill development				
5. Weightage given to Project				
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component				
7. Depth of the course content				
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject				
9. Difficulty level of course content				
10. Optimization of course content				
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)				
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus			, ,	
13. Relevance of Course outcomes				
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)				
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material				
16. Overall rating				
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development				

Date:

PRINCIPAL
Sri Jagadguru Murugharajandra College
of Arts, Science & Commerce
CHITRADURGA

Signature

## S J M COLLEGE OF ARTS, SCIENCE & COMMERCE, CHANDRAVALLI, CHITRADUE GA FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM Academic Year: 2021-22

Name of the Teacher:  Designation:  Designation:  Designation:	, A	9 athy		
Name of the College/University:	Pint	rodura		
Mobile: 7800 987 E-mail:	(AP)	1		
(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick $()$ in the re-	levant cell.)			
Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given:				
	4	3	2	1
Parameters	Excellent	Good	Average	Belov Averag
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs		V		
2. Weightage given to Employability		V		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	/			
4. Weightage given to Skill development	V			
5. Weightage given to Project				
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component				
7. Depth of the course content				
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject				
9. Difficulty level of course content				
10. Optimization of course content	* .*			
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)				
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus			V	
13. Relevance of Course outcomes			V	
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	,			
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material		~		
16. Overall rating				
Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development	.1			1

ITTRADURGA