

**S.J.M.College of Arts,Science & Commerce,Chandravalli,Chitradurga**  
**FEEDBACK FROM ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM**

Academic Year 2021-22

Name of the Alumni: Dr. K.M. Eswarappa Year of study: 1995  
 Class and programme studied: B.sc Occupation: Associate prof  
 Presently working at: Davanagere university.  
 Mobile: 9036830242 E-mail: Km. Eswarappa@gmail.com

(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick (✓) in the relevant cell.)

Name of the Programme on which feedback is given: Conducting Seminars

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs		✓		
2. Weightage given to Employability	✓			
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship		✓		
4. Weightage given to Skill development	✓			
5. Weightage given to Project	✓			
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	✓			
7. Depth of the course content		✓		
8. Inclusion/Incorporation of latest advancements in the subject		✓		
9. Difficulty level of course content		✓		
10. Optimization of course content		✓		
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	✓			
12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	✓			
13. Level of Course Outcomes		✓		
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	✓			
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material		✓		
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	✓			
17. Weightage given to practical, field work component		✓		
18. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners		✓		
19. Overall rating	✓			

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Advanced syllabus is required.

Date:

K.M. Eswarappa  
Signature



**S.J.M.College of Arts,Science & Commerce,Chandravalli,Chitradurga**  
**FEEDBACK FROM ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM**  
**Academic Year**

Name of the Alumni: Sampath Kumar Year of study: 1999  
 Class and programme studied: B.ec Occupation: Mse Library Science  
 Presently working at: Librarian Tumkur university, as  
 Mobile: 9448320187 E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

*(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick (✓) in the relevant cell.)*

Name of the Programme on which feedback is given: \_\_\_\_\_

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	✓	✓		
2. Weightage given to Employability				
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	✓			
4. Weightage given to Skill development	✓			
5. Weightage given to Project		✓		
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component		✓		
7. Depth of the course content		✓		
8. Inclusion/Incorporation of latest advancements in the subject		✓		
9. Difficulty level of course content		✓		
10. Optimization of course content		✓		
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace	✓			
12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	✓			
13. Level of Course Outcomes	✓			
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	✓			
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	✓			
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education				
17. Weightage given to practical, field work component		✓		
18. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners		✓		
19. Overall rating		✓		

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Please give importance for Field work.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Sampath  
Signature



**S.J.M.College of Arts,Science & Commerce,Chandravalli,Chitradurga**  
**FEEDBACK FROM ALUMNI ON CURRICULUM**  
**Academic Year**

Name of the Alumni: Jayanna Year of study: 1982  
 Class and programme studied: B-sc Occupation: professor  
 Presently working at: Kuvempu university Shimoga  
 Mobile: 9844176406 E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick (✓) in the relevant cell.)

Name of the Programme on which feedback is given: \_\_\_\_\_

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	✓			
2. Weightage given to Employability	✓			
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	✓			
4. Weightage given to Skill development	✓			
5. Weightage given to Project		✓		
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component		✓		
7. Depth of the course content		✓		
8. Inclusion/Incorporation of latest advancements in the subject				
9. Difficulty level of course content	✓			
10. Optimization of course content	✓	✓		
11. Usefulness of Curriculum at workplace		✓		
12. Fulfillment of Learning objectives	✓			
13. Level of Course Outcomes	✓			
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		✓		
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material		✓		
16. Creation of interest to pursue higher education	✓			
17. Weightage given to practical, field work component	✓			
18. Measures to additional understanding of difficult course content to slow learners		✓		
19. Overall rating		✓		

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Advanced projects yet to be taken

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Jayanna  
Signature

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year - 2021 - 22

Name of the Student: Leela. gm

Class: II B.A.

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1.Depth of the syllabus is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes		✓		
2. Size of the syllabus is not a load on the students		✓		
3. The evaluation scheme is designed appropriately for each course	✓			
4. The recommended books are adequately available in the college and department libraries		✓		
5. The units and sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓			
6.The syllabus enhance the students to improve their ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	✓			
7. The laboratory experiments enhance the students' understanding of the concepts and enable them to relate theory to practice		✓		
8. The content of the courses encourages extra learning/self learning.	✓			
9.The Entire Syllabus was Covered In the class and the Interest was Generated in the Course by the teacher	✓			

Date: 20/05/2023

  
Signature



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year - 2021-22

Name of the Student: Bhavana.H.D

Class: II BA

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1.Depth of the syllabus is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes		✓		
2. Size of the syllabus is not a load on the students		✓		
3. The evaluation scheme is designed appropriately for each course	✓			
4. The recommended books are adequately available in the college and department libraries	✓			
5. The units and sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓			
6.The syllabus enhance the students to improve their ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	✓			
7. The laboratory experiments enhance the students' understanding of the concepts and enable them to relate theory to practice		✓		
8. The content of the courses encourages extra learning/self learning.	✓			
9.The Entire Syllabus was Covered In the class and the Interest was Generated in the Course by the teacher	✓			

Date: 30/5/2023

Bhavana.H.D  
Signature

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year - 2021-22

Name of the Student: Kantharaja B

Class: II BA

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1.Depth of the syllabus is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes	✓			
2. Size of the syllabus is not a load on the students	✓			
3. The evaluation scheme is designed appropriately for each course		✓		
4. The recommended books are adequately available in the college and department libraries		✓		
5. The units and sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓			
6.The syllabus enhance the students to improve their ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	✓			
7. The laboratory experiments enhance the students' understanding of the concepts and enable them to relate theory to practice	✓			
8. The content of the courses encourages extra learning/self learning.	✓			
9.The Entire Syllabus was Covered In the class and the Interest was Generated in the Course by the teacher		✓		

Date: 30-5-2023

Signature

Kantharaja B



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2021-22

Name of the Student: BHAVANA.S

Class: III<sup>rd</sup> B.com

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1.Depth of the syllabus is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes	✓			
2. Size of the syllabus is not a load on the students	✓			
3. The evaluation scheme is designed appropriately for each course		✓		
4. The recommended books are adequately available in the college and department libraries	✓			
5. The units and sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓			
6.The syllabus enhance the students to improve their ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		✓		
7. The laboratory experiments enhance the students' understanding of the concepts and enable them to relate theory to practice	✓			
8. The content of the courses encourages extra learning/self learning.	✓			
9.The Entire Syllabus was Covered In the class and the Interest was Generated in the Course by the teacher	✓			

Date:

  
Signature

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2021-22

Name of the Student: Chethan Kir

Class: III<sup>rd</sup> B.com

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1.Depth of the syllabus is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes	✓			
2. Size of the syllabus is not a load on the students		✓		
3. The evaluation scheme is designed appropriately for each course	✓			
4. The recommended books are adequately available in the college and department libraries	✓	✓		
5. The units and sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓			
6.The syllabus enhance the students to improve their ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		✓		
7. The laboratory experiments enhance the students' understanding of the concepts and enable them to relate theory to practice	✓			
8. The content of the courses encourages extra learning/self learning.		✓		
9.The Entire Syllabus was Covered In the class and the Interest was Generated in the Course by the teacher	✓			

Date:

Signature  
Chethan Kir



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2021-22

Name of the Student: Pallavi.K

Class: III<sup>rd</sup> year Bcom

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1.Depth of the syllabus is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes	✓			
2. Size of the syllabus is not a load on the students	✓			
3. The evaluation scheme is designed appropriately for each course	✓			
4. The recommended books are adequately available in the college and department libraries	✓			
5. The units and sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓		
6.The syllabus enhance the students to improve their ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	✓			
7. The laboratory experiments enhance the students' understanding of the concepts and enable them to relate theory to practice	✓			
8. The content of the courses encourages extra learning/self learning.	✓			
9.The Entire Syllabus was Covered In the class and the Interest was Generated in the Course by the teacher	✓			

Date:

Pallavi.K  
Signature

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2021-22

Name of the Student: VEERESH S

Class: 1. B.Sc

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1.Depth of the syllabus is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes	/			
2. Size of the syllabus is not a load on the students		/		
3. The evaluation scheme is designed appropriately for each course				
4. The recommended books are adequately available in the college and department libraries	✓			
5. The units and sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓			
6.The syllabus enhance the students to improve their ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	✓			
7. The laboratory experiments enhance the students' understanding of the concepts and enable them to relate theory to practice	✓			
8. The content of the courses encourages extra learning/self learning.		✓		
9.The Entire Syllabus was Covered In the class and the Interest was Generated in the Course by the teacher	✓			

Date: 2022

veeresh s  
Signature



STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2021-22

Name of the Student: Jayalakshmi G.

Class: BSC

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1.Depth of the syllabus is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes	✓			
2. Size of the syllabus is not a load on the students	✓			
3. The evaluation scheme is designed appropriately for each course	✓			
4. The recommended books are adequately available in the college and department libraries	✓			
5. The units and sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓			
6.The syllabus enhance the students to improve their ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		✓		
7. The laboratory experiments enhance the students' understanding of the concepts and enable them to relate theory to practice	✓			
8. The content of the courses encourages extra learning/self learning.	✓			
9.The Entire Syllabus was Covered In the class and the Interest was Generated in the Course by the teacher	✓			

Date: 2022

Jayalakshmi G.  
Signature

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2021-22

Name of the Student: Tejagwini.S

Class: Bse

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1.Depth of the syllabus is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes	✓			
2. Size of the syllabus is not a load on the students	✓			
3. The evaluation scheme is designed appropriately for each course	✓			
4. The recommended books are adequately available in the college and department libraries	✓			
5. The units and sections in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓		
6.The syllabus enhance the students to improve their ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	✓			
7. The laboratory experiments enhance the students' understanding of the concepts and enable them to relate theory to practice	✓			
8. The content of the courses encourages extra learning/self learning.	✓			
9.The Entire Syllabus was Covered In the class and the Interest was Generated in the Course by the teacher	✓			

Date: 20 2 22

Tejagwini.S  
Signature



**S.J.M.College of Arts,Science & Commerce,Chandravalli,Chitradurga**  
**FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM**  
**Academic Year 2021 - 2022**

Name of the Teacher: H.K. Shivappa  
 Designation: Associate professor Department: Commerce  
 Name of the College/University: Davana STM College  
 Mobile: 9073114926 E-mail: shivappahk@gmail.com

(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick (✓) in the relevant cell.)

Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given: \_\_\_\_\_

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	✓			
2. Weightage given to Employability		✓		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship		✓		
4. Weightage given to Skill development	✓			
5. Weightage given to Project			✓	
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	✓			
7. Depth of the course content	✓	✓		
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject		✓		
9. Difficulty level of course content		✓		
10. Optimization of course content		✓		
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)	✓			
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus		✓		
13. Relevance of Course outcomes	✓			
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		✓		
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material		✓		
16. Overall rating	✓			

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Inculcation of curriculum if it is very near to practical life, leading & fun is beneficiary

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature  




**S.J.M.College of Arts,Science & Commerce,Chandravalli,Chitradurga**  
**FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM**  
**Academic Year 2021 - 2022**

Name of the Teacher: M. CHANDAMMA  
 Designation: Associate Professor Department: Physics  
 Name of the College/University: S. J. M. College, Chandravalli / Davanagere  
 Mobile: 9866495113 E-mail: nchandamma24@gmail.com

(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick (✓) in the relevant cell.)

Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given: Physics B. Sc.

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	✓			
2. Weightage given to Employability		✓		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship		✓		
4. Weightage given to Skill development		✓		
5. Weightage given to Project	✓			
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component	✓			
7. Depth of the course content		✓		
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject			✓	
9. Difficulty level of course content			✓	
10. Optimization of course content		✓		
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)		✓		
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus	✓			
13. Relevance of Course outcomes		✓		
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		✓		
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material			✓	
16. Overall rating		✓		

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development  
For the present condition Basics with applications oriented syllabus is needed.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: M. Chd



**S.J.M.College of Arts,Science & Commerce,Chandravalli,Chitradurga**  
**FEEDBACK FROM TEACHER ON CURRICULUM**  
**Academic Year 2021 - 2022**

Name of the Teacher: R. K. KEDARANATH.

Designation: Associate professor Department: political Science.

Name of the College/University: SJM college of Arts, Science & Commerce

Mobile: 9448783277 E-mail: rk.kedaranath@gmail.com.

(Note: Your valuable feedback is solicited. Please tick (✓) in the relevant cell.)

Name of the subject and course on which feedback is given: \_\_\_\_\_

Parameters	4	3	2	1
	Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average
1. Applicability/relevance to real life situations & local developmental needs	✓			
2. Weightage given to Employability		✓		
3. Weightage given to Entrepreneurship	✓			
4. Weightage given to Skill development		✓		
5. Weightage given to Project				
6. Weightage given to practical and field work component		✓		
7. Depth of the course content	✓			
8. Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject				
9. Difficulty level of course content		✓		
10. Optimization of course content	✓			
11. Mechanism used for development /revision of curriculum by BoS (e.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert)		✓		
12. Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus	✓			
13. Relevance of Course outcomes		✓		
14. Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)		✓		
15. Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading / Reference material / Study material	✓			
16. Overall rating		✓		

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Take job trends into consideration & make advanced technology a content in course offered.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

R. K. Kedaranath  
Signature